Field Test Program for Long-Term Operation of a COHPAC® System for Removing Mercury from Coal-Fired Flue Gas

Quarterly Technical Report Reporting Period: January 1, 2005–March 31, 2005

Principal Authors: Jean Bustard, Charles Lindsey, Paul Brignac, Travis Starns, Sharon Sjostrom, Trent Taylor, Cindy Larson

> ADA-ES, Inc. 8100 SouthPark Way, Unit B Littleton, Colorado 80120

Submitted: April 28, 2005

DOE Cooperative Agreement No.: DE-FC26-02NT41591

Report No. 41591R11

DISCLAIMER

This technical report was prepared with the support of the U.S. Department of Energy, under Award No. DE-FC26-02NT41591. However, any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the DOE.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

ABSTRACT

With the Nation's coal-burning utilities facing the possibility of tighter controls on mercury pollutants, the U.S. Department of Energy is funding projects that could offer power plant operators better ways to reduce these emissions at much lower costs. Sorbent injection technology represents one of the simplest and most mature approaches to controlling mercury emissions from coal-fired boilers. It involves injecting a solid material such as powdered activated carbon into the flue gas. The gas-phase mercury in the flue gas contacts the sorbent and attaches to its surface. The sorbent with the mercury attached is then collected by the existing particle control device along with the other solid material, primarily fly ash.

During 2001, ADA Environmental Solutions (ADA-ES) conducted a full-scale demonstration of sorbent-based mercury control technology at the Alabama Power E.C. Gaston Station (Wilsonville, Alabama). This unit burns a low-sulfur bituminous coal and uses a hot-side electrostatic precipitator (ESP) in combination with a <u>Compact Hybrid Particulate Collector (COHPAC®)</u> baghouse to collect fly ash. The majority of the fly ash is collected in the ESP with the residual being collected in the COHPAC® baghouse. Activated carbon was injected between the ESP and COHPAC® units to collect the mercury.

Short-term mercury removal levels in excess of 90% were achieved using the COHPAC[®] unit. The test also showed that activated carbon was effective in removing both forms of mercury–elemental and oxidized. However, a great deal of additional testing is required to further characterize the capabilities and limitations of this technology relative to use with baghouse systems such as COHPAC[®]. It is important to determine performance over an extended period of time to fully assess all operational parameters.

The project described in this report focuses on fully demonstrating sorbent injection technology at a coal-fired power generating plant that is equipped with a COHPAC® system. The overall objective is to evaluate the long-term effects of sorbent injection on mercury capture and COHPAC® performance. The work is being done on one-half of the gas stream at Alabama Power Company's Plant Gaston Unit 3 (nominally 135 MW). Data from the testing will be used to determine:

- 1. If sorbent injection into a high air-to-cloth ratio baghouse is a viable, long-term approach for mercury control; and
- 2. Design criteria and costs for new baghouse/sorbent injection systems that will use a similar, polishing baghouse (TOXECON™) approach.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	. 1
INTRODUCTION	2
Team Members	
EXPERIMENTAL	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	3

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ADA-ES began work on a Cooperative Agreement with the Department of Energy in September 2002 to fully evaluate activated carbon injection (ACI) in conjunction with a high-ratio baghouse (COHPAC®) for mercury control. The work was being conducted at Alabama Power Company's Plant Gaston. During the three-year project, a powdered ACI system was installed and tested at the plant for a continuous one-year period. ADA-ES' responsibilities for managing the project include engineering, testing, economic analysis, and information transfer functions.

During the eleventh reporting quarter, January through March 2005, progress on the project was made in the following areas:

- Continued analysis of test results
- Began preparation of the final site report

INTRODUCTION

Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC26-02NT41591 was awarded to ADA-ES to demonstrate activated carbon injection (ACI) technology on a coal-fired boiler equipped with a COHPAC® baghouse. Under the contract, ADA-ES is working in partnership with DOE/NETL, Alabama Power, and EPRI.

A detailed report will be prepared at the end of the test. Quarterly reports will be used to provide project overviews and technology transfer information.

Team Members

This program is made possible by significant cost-share support from the following companies:

- Duke Power
- EPRI
- Southern Company and Alabama Power Company
- Hamon Research-Cottrell, Inc.
- Allegheny Power
- Ontario Power Generation
- TVA
- Duke Power
- Arch Coal. Inc.
- ADA-ES, Inc.

A group of highly qualified individuals and companies was assembled to implement this program. Project team members include:

- ADA-ES, Inc.
- Southern Research Institute
- Grubb Filtration Testing Services, Inc.
- Reaction Engineering International

EXPERIMENTAL

None to report this quarter.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The field test portion of the program was completed in July 2004. The original test plan was adapted to the operating conditions at the host site. These changes were documented in Report No. 41591R04, but primarily consisted of extending the baseline and optimization tests and modifying the injection scheme. The test plan for this program has five primary tasks:

- 1. Design and install an activated carbon injection system capable of continuous operation for up to one year.
- 2. Install a mercury analyzer capable of long-term, continuous operation. This analyzer is referred to as a Semi-Continuous Emissions Monitor (S-CEM).
- 3. Evaluate the long-term performance of carbon injection upstream of COHPAC® for mercury control. This task has two separate test periods:
 - a. The first test (up to six months) was conducted using the existing set of bags.
 - b. The second test (up to six months) was conducted on a set of new bags made from advanced fabrics.
- 4. Perform short-term tests of alternative sorbents.
- 5. Document test procedures and results, and complete reporting and management requirements.

Tasks 1, 2, 3, and 4 have been completed. Task 5 is in progress.